# Notice of Allowability

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/659,827	ROWE, RICHARD E.
Examiner	Art Unit
M. A. Sager	3714

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included
herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-68) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS
NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative
of the Officer cure matition by the applicant. See 27 CEPT 1431 and MDEP 1309.

- NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initia of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

  1. 

  This communication is responsive to papers rect 9/10/03. 4/15/05. 9/15/05. 9/28/05. 10/11/05. 6/19/0, interview on 2/14/08.
- 1. [25] This continuincation is responsive to <u>papers recta 3/10/05, 4/10/05, 3/10/05, 3/20/05, 10/17/05, 0/13/0, miletiview on 2/14/</u>
- The allowed claim(s) is/are 19-50 and 61-66.
- 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All b) Some\* c) None of the:
    - 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    - 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_
    - Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
  - \* Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

- A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
- 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
  - (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached
    - 1) 🔲 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_
  - (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

    Identifying indicts such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).

 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2. 
  Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date
- Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material
- 5. Notice of Informal Patent Application
- Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No./Mail Date attached.
- 7. X Examiner's Amendment/Comment
- 8. X Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
- 9. ☐ Other .

Application/Control Number: 10/659,827 Page 2

Art Unit: 3714

## EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or
additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR
 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the
payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with D.

Olynick (48615) on Feb 14, 2008.

The application has been amended as follows:

Cancels claims 51-60 as drawn to a non-elected invention where no claim of nonelected invention is eligible for rejoinder.

## Reasons for Allowance

 The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the combining, bundling or unbundling a plurality of game components in conjunction with claimed structure so as to communicate components appears allowable over art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 19-50, 61-66, drawn to gaming machine, and server, classified in class 463, subclass 42.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,827 Page 3

Art Unit: 3714

 Claims 51-60, drawn to method for accessing game transaction information, classified in class 707, subclass 9.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

4. Inventions II and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as gaming machine or server not claiming limitations of Group II claims; while, subcombination II has separate utility such as a method not claiming limitations of Group I claims, and Group I is not an obvious variant of Group II. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

5. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

Application/Control Number: 10/659,827 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

 (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;

- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter.
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Art Unit: 3714

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. During a telephone conversation with D. Olynick on Feb 14, 2008 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 19-50 and 61-66. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 51-50 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. As noted in MPEP 821.01, if, on examination, the examiner finds the claims to an invention elected without traverse to be allowable and no nonelected invention is eligible for rejoinder (see MPEP § 821.04), the letter should be attached to the Notice of Allowability form PTOL-37 and should include cancellation of the nonelected claims, a statement that the prosecution is closed, and that a notice of allowance will be sent in due course. Such is case in this application, such that after examination, the claims to the elected Group have been deemed allowable as noted above, while, there is no claim to nonelected invention eligible for rejoinder.

Art Unit: 3714

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to M. A. Sager whose telephone number is 571-272-4454. The
examiner can normally be reached on T-F. 0700-1730 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. A. Sager/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714